32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Speaker Madigan: "The House...the House shall come to order. The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by the Reverend William T. Rucker, Pastor of the Soul Reviving Missionary Baptist Church in Chicago. Could I have your attention in the aisle, Representative Dunn? Could I have your attention please? As I said, we shall be led in prayer today by the Reverend William Rucker. William Rucker is a guest of Representative Nelson Bice and also a friend of Representative Howard Brookins. Will the guests in the gallery please rise to join us in the invocation?"

Reverend Rucker: "Let us bow our heads for a word of prayer. In all thy ways, acknowledge Him, and He shall direct thy Be not wise in thine own eyes. Pear the Lord and depart from evil. Our Father, Who art in Heaven, we draw night unto Thee, conscious of Thy greatness, goodness and power. To glorify Thee for all that Thou has done for us, we are ever mindful of Thy love and Thy care and that Thy mercy endureth unto all generations. In Thee we behold the fullness of that that is finest and best. As we draw nigh with open heart and mind, let the spirit of God give us a new vision, a new life, a new fellowship. Let us open our hearts that we may see Christ with bands outstretched as You bless us today. May we go forth and hasten the day when all persons shall dwell together as brothers and sisters through Jesus Christ as Our Lord. With the comfort of His love, with the sense of His grace, with the knowledge of His wisdom, with the assurance of His power, with the joy of His presence, with the hope of His promise, bless those who are present to conduct the state's business with the wisdom, knowledge and understanding that is required to make this a better state for all. These

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

blessings we ask in the spirit of Him who prayed, "Not My will, but Thine will be done". In the name of Jesus Christ, Our Lord. Amen."

- Speaker Madigan: "We shall be led in the Fledge of Allegiance by Representative Hopp."
- Ropp: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of
 America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation
 under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Madigan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Greiman, are there any excused absences?"
- Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Henry and Representative Hutchins will be excused for absences. And, Mr. Speaker, I would like to comment that this is the first time in many weeks that I have not had to say Representative Vitek is absent for illness. Representative Vitek is with us, and I am glad, and all of us are glad to see him with us."
- Speaker Madigan: "Why don't we do this, John? Mr. Clerk, do you have a Resolution on your desk? Mr. Clerk. Could the Membership please give their attention to the Clerk? Mr. Clerk, read the Resolution."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 178, by Speaker Madigan Members of the House. Whereas, our distinguished and esteemed colleague, Representative John M. Vitek of 21st) District, returns to the ranks of this (sic august Body today after a three-month absencee; and whereas, in mid-January, Representative Vitek underwent quadruple-bypass open heart surgery at St. Hospital in Evanston, Illinois. After a 32-day hospital stay, he continued to convalence at his Chicago home; and whereas, his doctors, having declared him successfully and fully recovered, the honorable Representative resumes his active legislative schedule today, an inspiration appreciated by Members on both sides of the aisle of this

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

House. as well as his colleagues οn the Insurance Committee: and whereas, our admiration of Representative Vitek's dedication on behalf of his constituents. demonstrated by his eagerness to continue in their service. is exceeded only by our deep respect for his personal courage and strength he has displayed in overcoming ill health; therefore, be it resolved by the Hous€ of Representatives of the 83rd General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we welcome Representative John Vitek and his expertise and wise counsel back into our midst and that we express to this honorable public servant our heartiest best wishes for many years of good health and physical stamina; and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to Representative John Vitek."

Speaker Madigan: "I'm sure I speak for all Members of the House when I extend to you, John, our heartfelt welcome on your return to the House of Representatives and for what we expect will be the remainder of this Session and for many, many years to come. On the Hesolution, all those in favor signify by saying "aye", all those opposed by saying "no". The "ayes" have it. The Resolution is adopted. The Chair recognizes Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, dear Only one correction, I always look for mistakes, friends. and, Jack, it's the 21st District, not the 23rd. The 23rd was the old one, and I was sworn into the 21st. But notwithstanding, it's been hell. And I know what guys through now when I hear them going through bypasses. used to think it was nothing but taking a detour. Well. that's exactly what happened with me. I had four detours. And like Bill Margalus, I pulled through, thanks to prayers, your wishes. And I didn't want you to know I was in the hospital, because the last time I was in, My COOM

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

looked like a florist's shop. And the nuns kept telling me, 'John, don't send any more flowers down to the church. We've got plenty.' So I wanted to save you guys that expense. But Mike has gone ahead and put one on my desk this morning from the Speaker and all Members, and then it looks like a cake here that I hope that the Clerk will...I mean, the Sergeant of Arms will take and cut up, and you can all join in it later or whenever you wish. And I thank you again for all your prayers. I tell you, it's tough, and it's good to be back."

Speaker Madigan: "We're happy to have you back, John. And to commemorate John's return, there's a cake for the Democrats, and there's one for the Republicans. And will the Pages please distribute the cake? Mr. Greiman...Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and I would hope that the Members listen to this. The Committee to approve the House Journals met, and one of the things that we're going to have in a memo to the Speaker, that when there is an excused absence, that the Doormen then take the key of that person's desk, so that somebody who has an excused absence not appear on any Roll Calls for that Legislative Day. Also, I would urge the Members, if you are not here on attendance...on the Attendance Roll Call, when you arrive, make sure that you go to the well, to the Clerk, to tell them that you are in attendance. And I want to repeat that. Make sure when you do appear that you tell the Clerk that you are here, because you are going to take the risk. If you do not do that, that you...there is a possibility that your name may be expunded. I'm just saying that as a warning. But we did meet, and we want to make sure that on further Roll Calls that someone, when they are excused, their name not appear on the Roll Call thereafter."

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman, in response to your request for excused absences, let the record show that Representative Henry and Hutchins are excused. Mr. Vinson, are there any excused absences?"
- Vinson: "Representative McAuliffe. And at the appropriate time,

 Mr. Speaker, I too have a parliamentary inquiry."
- Speaker Madigan: "Let the record show that Representative McAuliffe is excused. Mr. Clerk, take the Attendance Roll Call. There are 111 Members responding to the Attendance Roll Call. There is a quorum present. Introduction and First Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2278, Huff, a Bill for an Act to revise the public school system in the City of Chicago.

 First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2279, Greiman, a Bill for an Act to create the Agency of Enterprise, Development and Job Creation. First Reading of the Bill."

 Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as know, on Friday last, the House remained in Perfunctory Session until about midnight for introductions of Bills. happened to be listening to the speaker box about six o'clock in the evening and was somewhat surprised to hear a Bill read into the record out of order. We were up in the 18 or 1900s, and the number was 1690, and so I inquired about it. I called out to the podium and was advised that that particular Bill had been...the number had been reserved by Representative Cowlishaw some time ago, and that they had just received the Bill and that they reading it in with that number for that purpose. Subsequently, I am advised by our staff that we received, from the Clerk's Office, this particular Bill with the number on it House Bill 1690. We were somewhat perplexed by that, because that was not Representative Cowlishaw's

32nd Legislative Day

April 19. 1983

Bill. But we were also somewhat perplexed by it, Mr. Speaker, because it bore what purported to be a Legislative Reference Bureau number, which began with the initials SDS. And we were surprised by that, because we inquired of the Reference Bureau, and they advised us that all Reference Bureau numbers begin with the initials LRB. So we are perplexed as to what this Bill is and whether someone has some kind of conspiracy to try to introduce legislation that purports to have a Reference Bureau number, but does not, in fact, have a Reference Bureau number. And we thought that you would want to be advised of that and to inquire into such a...an event."

- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Vinson. I believe that the Clerk has an answer to your inquiry. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1690 was introduced in its normal order. And when that Bill was sent up to the Journal Room, we then discovered it was not...did not have a Legislative Reference Bureau number, but some other number, as you indicate, starting with SDS, which is against our rules. We therefore took that Bill out of the record and put another Bill in the record as using Bouse Bill #1690."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Vinson."

- Vinson: "I am very impressed with the Clerk's operation in catching the error immediately and in the proofreading operation. I wonder if the Clerk might advise the Body as to who attempted to introduce a Bill with this spurious Reference Bureau number."
- Clerk O'Brien: "We don't know who left the Bill here. Presumably
 it was a staff person that brought it in as a number of
 Bills were coming in at the time."
- Vinson: "I will have our staff bring this Bill to you, Mr. Speaker, our copy, so that you might have it fingerprinted or do whatever else is necessary to conduct the proper

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

inquiry, because I know of your concern about this matter."

Speaker Madigan: "Well, we'll be happy to receive the Bill. We don't have enough Bills to work with. Mr. Clerk, are we ready to proceed on the Calendar?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of the Calendar on page four of the Calendar, House Bills Second Reading Short Debate Calendar, House Bill 54.

Representative Oblinger. Is Representative Oblinger in the chamber? Do you wish to call that Bill? I believe the Lady indicated yes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 54, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Bights Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 58, Mr. Bowman. Yes, Mr. Bowman. The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. House Bill 137, Mr. Terzich. The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. House 501, Mr. Keane. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill 501. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 501, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Illinois State Auditing Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, are there any Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 522. Mr. Hawkinson, do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Hawkinson, do you wish

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

to call that Bill? The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. House Bill 667. Mr. Brummer, do you wish to call your Bill? Is Mr. Brummer in the chamber? House Bill 687. Mr. Hannig, do you wish to call your Bill? 687. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 687, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 698. Mr. Wolf, do you wish to call your Bill? The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. House Bill 716. Mr. Ropp, do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 716, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to prohibit the feeding of garbage to swine and other animals or poultry. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Cullerton seek recognition?"

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to make sure that an Amendment that I had filed was distributed."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, has the Cullerton Amendment been distributed? The Clerk informs the Chair that the Amendment has not been filed. Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I would ask the Sponsor if he could hold this Bill, so I could file this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure what the Amendment does. I don't know whether...if it's not a very good Amendment, why

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

there wouldn't be any need to hold it."

Cullerton: "No, it's a good Amendment. It's..."

Ropp: "I thought you were handling."

Cullerton: "I'll handle the Amendment. You can explain the

Ropp: "Well, that's my question. That's why I don't know if it's a very good one then."

Cullerton: "No, it's a good Amendment. You'll like it."

Speaker Madigan: "Alright, Mr. Clerk, take this Bill from the record, and we can return to the Bill when the Amendment has been filed and distributed. House Bill 717. Mr. Ropp, do you wish to call that Bill? 717? Mr. Ropp."

Ropp: "No, we need an Amendment on that one, too. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. House Bill 736. Representative Friedrich, do you wish to call the Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 736, a Bill for an Act to amend the Rape Victims' Emergency Treatment Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 740. Mr. Flinn, do you wish to call your Bill? Is Mr...Mr. Flinn, you wish to call the Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 740, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 745. Mr. Van Duyne, do you wish to call your Bill? Is Mr. Van Duyne in the chamber? House Bill 763. Mr. McAuliffe, do you wish to call your Bill? Is Mr. McAuliffe in the chamber? House Bill 834. Representative Kirkland, do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 834, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Mr. Vinson, when we call House Bill 698, Mr. Wolf indicated that he did not wish to call the Bill. He has now indicated that he would like to call the Bill, so we shall return to that Bill. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 698. Read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 698, a Bill for an Act relating to the preparation of fiscal statements by certain public officials. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 lost in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, Cullerton, amends House Bill 698 on page two by deleting line 16."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Cullerton. Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The...in the Bill, the Bill uses a definition of public entities or governmental units, and they are not defined. So what the Amendment does, change that...those terms to unit of local government as is defined in the Constitution. I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bills Second Reading,
House Bill 17. Is there leave to keep House Bill 698 on
the Order of Short Debate? Leave is granted. The Bill
shall remain on the Order of Short Debate. House Fill 17.
I am informed by the Clerk that the Amendment has not yet
been distributed. House Bill 18, Mr. Capparelli. Mr.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 18, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 24, Mr. Terzich.
Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 24, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 43. Mr. Cullerton, do you wish to call your Bill? The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call his Bill. Bouse Bill 87. Mr. Terzich, do you wish to call your Bill? Mr. Clerk, read

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 87, a Bill for an Act to provide subsidy to port districts and regional port districts."

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. House Bill 195, Mr. Laurino.

Is Mr. Laurino in the chamber? House Bill 226, Mr. Stuffle. Mr. Stuffle, do you wish to call this Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 226, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to create the State Universities Civil
Service System. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1
was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. On page six of the Calendar, House Bill 244, Mr. Nash. The Clerk informs the Chair that the fiscal note has not yet been filed; therefore, this Bill shall remain on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 275. The Clerk informs the Chair that the fiscal note has not yet been filed; therefore, this Bill shall remain on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 276. Levin, do you wish to call your Bill? The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call his Bill. House Bill 277. Mr. Levin, and 280? The Gentleman indicates that not wish to call 277 and 280. House Bill 295. Clerk informs the Chair that the pension impact note as amended has not yet been filed; therefore, this Bill shall remain on the Order of Second Reading. House Bill 312. Taylor, the Clerk informs the Chair that the fiscal

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

note has not yet been filed; therefore, the Bill shall remain on the Order of Second Reading."

- Speaker McPike: "House Bill 336, Representative Preston. Out of the record. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, it's appropriate that when someone new comes into the Chair, that they read their name publicly so that the Journal can be properly transcribed."
- Speaker McPike: "Fine, thank you. Representative McPike in the Chair. House Bill 347, Representative Wolf. Representative Sam Wolf, want to call the Bill? Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 347, a Bill for an Act in relation to occupation and use tax limits on home rule units. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 377, Representative Capparelli. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 377, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 379, Representative Capparelli. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 379..."

Speaker McPike: "The Clerk has informed the Chair that the

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

pension impact note has not been filed. House Bill 380 will remain on Second...I'm sorry. House Bill 379 will remain on Second Reading. House Bill 380, Representative Capparelli. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 380, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Hotions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 394. Representative Leverenz. Want to call...do you wish...Out of the record. House Bill 424, Representative Bowman. Out of the record. House Bill 467. A fiscal note has been requested and is not filed. House Bill 467 will remain on Second Reading. House Bill 494, Representative Younge. Representative Out of the record. House Bill 507, Younge. Representative Pangle. Representative Pangle. Out of the record. House Bill 514, Representative Steczo. Out of the record. House Bill 553, Representative Tate. Do you wish to call your Bill, Sir? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 553, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Tate, amends House Bill 553..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Tate."

Tate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #2 is strictly a technical Amendment and corrects

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

a drafting error, and the Bill, in Section 1, was...had read, 'as follows as follows', and we're just eliminating one of the 'as follows'."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? Being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 555, Representative Hanniq. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 555, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act relating to fire protection in certain
areas. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was
adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 558, Representative Ropp. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 558, a Bill for an Act relating to the taxation of mobile homes. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. The Clerk informs the Chair that the fiscal note requested on House Bill 561 has not been filed. House Bill 561 will remain on Second Reading.

House Bill 580. Representative Van Duyne, do you wish to

32nd Legislative Day

- April 19, 1983
- call your Bill, Sir? Out of the record. House Bill 619, Representative Jaffe. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 619..."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know whether it's appropriate to say it now or after the Clerk reads it, but I have requested a fiscal note on this Bill and also House Bill 620."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, has a fiscal note been filed on House
 Bill 619? It is not in writing. You can ask for a fiscal
 note as you have just done, Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, excuse me, but I filed a fiscal note request form with the Clerk at 12:29 p.m. today on 619 and on 620."
- Speaker McPike: "Fine, thank you. Representative Jaffe, House
 Bill 619. Do you wish to have your Bill called? Do you
 wish to have the Bill called? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 619, a Bill for an Act in relation to discontinuation. phaseout. termination closing. αr significant alteration of facilities, programs and services Department of Children and Family Services, Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities, Rehabilitation Department of Services and the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Jaffe, amends House Bill 619."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."
- Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 is merely a technical Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Excuse me, Mr. Jaffe. The Gentleman from

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I don't believe that Amendment's been printed or distributed."

Speaker McPike: "The Amendment has not been distributed. House Bill 619 will be taken out of the record. House Bill 620, Representative Jaffe. Out of the record. House Bill 627, Representative Mulcahey. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 627, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 638, Bepresentative Capparelli. Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 638, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 644, Representative Hastert. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 644, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 674, Representative

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Ronan. Do you wish to have your Bill called, Representative Ronan? Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 674, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Johnson, amends House Bill 674..."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative

Johnson, on Amendment #2."

Johnson: "This Amendment has been agreed to by both Sponsors and also by the other Members of the Committee. It simply changes some of the language with respect to habitual traffic offenders to require that the violation be 25 miles an hour or more over the limit before it counts on here.

Representative Ronan has indicated he agreed with the Amendment, and there's nothing more to say."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, "Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?". All those in fayor signify by saying "aye", opposed "nay". The "ayes" have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. The Clerk informs the Chair that the pension impact note requested on 682 has not been filed. It will remain on Second Reading. House Bill 708, Representative Mautino. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 708, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

32nd Legislative Day

April 19. 1983

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 682, the Calendar clearly indicates that a note is requested, and we have a copy of one on our file."

Speaker McPike: "Well, on House Bill 682, a pension impact note is requested, and we do not have it. Did you say that you do have it?"

Vinson: "What I am saying...Oh, you were saying you did not have the...the note?"

Speaker McPike: "Correct."

Vinson: "So the Bill remains on Second."

Speaker McPike: "Correct_"

Vinson: "Thank you. I'm sorry."

Speaker McPike: "House Bill 708. Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Amendments from the floor."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 709, Representative Mautino. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 709, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Park District Code. Second Reading of the

Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 722. Representative
Levin, do you wish to have your Bill called, Sir? Read the
Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 722, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was tabled in Committee. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

32nd Legislative Day April 19, 1983

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Levin, amends Bouse Bill 722 as amended..."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin, on Amendment #3."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In Committee, Amendment #2 was put on which amends the Local Government and Governmental Employees Tort Immunity Act to make this Bill applicable to all school districts. What Amendment #3 does is tracks some of the language in that Amendment #2 and adds it to the underlying Bill, which is an Amendment to the School Code. It is technical in nature, and I simply ask for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, "Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed 'no". The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 743, Representative Kirkland. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 743, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Bouse Bill 764, Representative Hallock. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 764, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 767, Bepresentative Matijevich. Bepresentative Matijevich. Out of the record. House Bill 769, Bepresentative Zwick. Bepresentative Zwick, do you wish to have your Bill called? Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 769, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Zwick, amends House Bill 769 on page one line 25..."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Kane, Representative Zwick,
Amendment #1."

Zwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #1 is not really technical in nature. It makes a slight change in that the person involved in the Bill, instead of being the chief of police, would be the chief executive officer, simply something that was suggested by some of the Members of Committee to make the Bill a little more effective. I'd appreciate your support for adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, "Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?". All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The "ayes" have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 796. Representative Giorgi, do you wish to have your Bill read, Sir? Representative Giorgi, do you wish to have your Bill called? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 796, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Transportation Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 814, Representative Hoffman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 814, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Beading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 815, Representative

Hoffman. Representative Hoffman on 815. Do you wish to

read the...out of the record. House Bill 838,

Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 838, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker McPike: "Third Beading. House Bill 873, Representative Bowman. Out of the record. House Bill 933, Representative Bowman. Bead the Bill, Mr.

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Clerk."

Clerk O*Brien: "House Bill 933, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of an Act to create the Bureau of the Budget.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

946, Representative Speaker AcPike: "Third Reading, House Bill Klemm. Do YOU wish to have your Bill called. Representative Klemm? Out of the record. House Bill 974, Representative Pierce. Out of the record. Representative Vinson, the Chair is going to return to pick up a few Bills where the Amendments were not distributed. On the Order of Second Reading on page five, House Bill 17, Representative Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Terzich.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 17, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Terzich, amends House Bill

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich,
Amendment #3."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 increases the general homestead exemption beginning with the 1984 assessment year. The Amendment offers a \$1,500 exemption. I would move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. On that question, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, this would raise the exemption from 3,500 to 4,500, Representative?"

32nd Legislative Day April 19, 1983

Terzich: "That's correct."

Vinson: "And what would be the cost of that?"

Terzich: "The cost would bring a property tax relief of approximately \$100 for residential homeowners, and that would be spread over a number of years. The original Bill was \$3,000 back in 1977, and this would increase it to \$4,500. The estimated overall impact for all of the homeowners in the State of Illinois would be approximately \$230,000,000."

Vinson: "Is this a reimbursable mandate?"

Terzich: "No, the...the Amendment that was adopted in Committee has the exclusionary Mandate Act Amendment on it."

Vinson: "So the cost...the revenue cost is totally to local units."

Terzich: "That's correct."

Vinson: "No further questions."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any further discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?'. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that a fiscal note...a request for a fiscal note as amended has been turned into the Clerk."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Piel, your point is well taken.

The House Bill 17 will remain on Second Reading. House
Bill 195, Representative Laurino. Bead the Bill, Mr.

Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 195, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

- the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Hallock, amends House Bill 195 as amended with reference to this Section, page and line numbers, House Amendment #1 and so forth."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock, on Amendment #2."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask that the Amendment be withdrawn."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Shaw, amends House Bill 195 as amended and so forth."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw, on Amendment #3. Representative Shaw on Amendment #3."
- Shaw: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would you...I'm asking that Amendment #3 be tabled."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Shaw."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw, on Amendment #4."
- Shaw: "Mr. Speaker, we would like to proceed with Amendment #4."

 Speaker McPike: "Proceed, Sir."
- Shaw: "This is an Amendment that would include all of the State
 of Illinois with uniform rates throughout the state,
 including Chicago."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. On that...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."
- Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

House, I oppose this Amendment to House Bill 195. reality. Mr. Shaw is trying to change the idea and the concept of this Bill by inserting these...this very small Amendment. But it's not small in concept. What it does is change the entire Bill uniformly. And what I think really, is introduce his own legislation and should do. Bill dealing with this subject, because it is amending a different Section of the Legislative Article. What it is dealing with is the insurance section of our government and not with the Vehicle Code. And so, therefore, I rise oppose this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would certainly CORCUE in everything Representative Laurino just said, but I would go one step further. I would inquire as to the germaneness of the Amendment. I believe that the Amendment is clearly nongermane. What the Bill does is to require somebody who is applying for their plates to demonstrate that they own insurance. Now, what the Amendment would attempt to do specify certain conditions for insurance and for The Amendment is appropriately added to insurance rates. the Insurance Code. That's where regulation of insurance state and in the statute book. is done in this The Amendment would attempt to put into the Vehicle Code something very different from what is in...currently in the Vehicle Code. And for those reasons, the Amendment is clearly not germane, because it is not related to the substance of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "While the Parliamentarian is considering the question of germaneness, I will interrupt the House on a point of personal privilege. In the balcony on the Democratic side are the grade school students from St.

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Bernard's in Wood River, along with the teachers, Peg Doubleday. And I'd like to have them stand for recognition. The Gentleman from Macon, Bepresentative Tate."

- Tate: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to direct my comments at the Parliamentarian. I have to take issue with my colleague from DeWitt County. If she would look at the legislation, the Bill deals with automobile rates, and the Amendment certainly deals with uniformity of automobile rates, and I'm certain that would satisfy the constitutional requirement."
- Speaker McPike: "The Parliamentarian has ruled that Amendment #4
 is germane. Is there any further discussion on Amendment
 #4? There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from
 Cook to close, Representative Shaw."
- Shaw: "I...Mr. Speaker, I think this...this is a good Amendment.

 I think it will serve to help all of the people of Illinois rather than exclude Chicago and Cook County. I think that if we go without this Amendment...this Amendment, that it would not serve in the best interests of people in Chicago and many of our citizens in Chicago who are black. We are concerned about those citizens, and I am, and I think that this entire Body here should look at this Amendment and vote 'yes' on this Amendment to benefit all of the people of Illinois."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 15 'ayes', 68 'nos', 3 voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Laurino McAuliffe, amends

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

House Bill 195...*

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino, on Amendment #5."
- Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #5 is a technical Amendment. It was done in the drafting stage. All it does is signify and decipher the Section that...or correct the Section that was deleted.

 And so...Section 11-601 to dash...to Section 11-1601. It was a typographical error."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. On that question, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I have no problem with the Amendment, but I don't believe it's been printed or distributed."
- Speaker McPike: "The Amendment has not been printed or distributed. The Bill will be left on Second Reading.

 Representative Laurino."
- Laurino: "Well, as he indicated, Mr. Speaker, that's only a technical Amendment of a typographical error, and if Mr. Vinson has no objection, I prefer to move the Bill to Third Reading."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, can you tell me if Amendment #5 has been distributed to House Bill 195?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Yes, it is distributed."
- Amendment #5 has been distributed. We are back then on House Bill 195 on the Order of Second Reading on Amendment #5. The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?'. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. On Bouse Bill 195, a fiscal note has been filed as amended. Third Reading. On the Order of Second Reading, House Bill 507, Bepresentative Pangle.

 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 507, a Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of the Bingo License and Tax Act. Second Reading

 of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. On the Calendar on page eight,

 House Bills Third Reading Short Debate appears House Bill

 84, Representative Braun. Representative Braun.

 Representative Braun. Out of the record. House Bill 120,

 Representative Terzich. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."
- 'Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 120, a Bill for an Act to amend various Acts to revise terminology in relation to subpoena powers. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have approximately seven Bills that were on the Consent Calendar, and these are all law revision Bills. They make no substantive changes. House Bill 120 amends various Acts to revise terminology relating to administrative procedures. There's no substantive changes in here, just technical changes, and I would appreciate your support. It came out of Committee 15 to nothing."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 120. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, "Shall House Bill 120 pass?".

 All those in favor indicate by voting "aye", opposed vote 'no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 108 "ayes", no "nays", 2 voting "present". House Bill 120, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 124, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 124, a Bill for an Act to repeal

 Sections of an Act in relation to municipal airport
 authorities. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Again, this is another law revision Bill. It has no substantive changes, just corrects terminology, and it was on the Consent Calendar. And I would appreciate your support."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 124. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 124 pass?'.

 All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

 The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. And House Bill 124, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 125, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 125, a Bill for an Act to amend various Acts to eliminate gender-biased provisions. Third Reading of the Eill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Again, this is another one that came off the Consent Calendar. There is no substantive changes. It just corrects gender, and I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Bill 125. Is there any discussion? There being no

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 125 pass?'.

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote
'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are
105 'ayes', 1 'no', none voting 'present', and House Bill
125, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby
declared passed. House Bill 126, Representative Terzich.

Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 126, a Bill for an Act to amend various Acts to delete reference to the now nonexistent constables. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Again, there are no substantive changes. It just corrects terminology, and I would move for its passage."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 126. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 126 pass?'.

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 109 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. House Bill 126, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 145, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 145, a Bill for an Act accepting the conveyance of Lincoln Monument and grounds. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Again, this is another Bill that came on the Consent Calendar, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 145. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 145 pass?'.

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 110 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. House Bill 145, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 158, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 158, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the law in relation to escheats. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, again this came...was on the Consent

Calendar and...just word changes, and I would move for its

adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
Bill 158. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from
Cook, Representative Marzuki, do you seek recognition?"

Marzuki: "No, I don't."

Speaker McPike: "There being no discussion, the question is,

'Shall House Bill 158 pass?'. All those in favor signify
by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the
record. On this Bill, there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays',
none voting 'present'. And Bouse Bill 158, having received
a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.
House Bill 159, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr.
Clerk.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 159, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Workers' Compensation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Again, this corrects terminology related to writs and also makes other linguistic changes, and I would move for

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

its passage."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 159. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Bouse Bill 159 pass?'.

 All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 113 'ayes', 1 'no', none voting 'present'. House Bill 159, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 160, Representative Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 160, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Workers' Occupational Disease Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Well, thank goodness this is the last Bill, Mr.

 Speaker. And it again makes a change in terminology in relation to writs and also makes other linguistic changes.

 I would move for its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 160? Is there any discussion? There being there being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 160 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 108 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. House Bill 160, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 450, Representative Hallock. Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 450, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Local Mass Transit District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hallock."

- Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House
 Bill 450 merely increases the size of the Bockford Mass
 Transit District Board from three to five members. It also
 affects Springfield and Champaign. I have the support of
 the Reps from those districts. I would ask for your
 favorable support. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
 Bill 450. Is there any discussion? There being no
 discussion, the ques...The Gentleman from Winnebago,
 Representative Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "A question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker McPike: "We're on Short Debate. You can proceed with your question."
- Mulcahey: "Forget it, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I just had a question for Mr. Hallock. Does the home rule people in Rockford have an opinion on this or are they...are they for this Bill or against it?"
- Hallock: "We may have to have another referendum on that question."
- Mulcahey: "I see. Thank you very much."
- Speaker McPike: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 450 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. House Bill 450, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 506, Representative Friedrich. Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 506, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like unanimous consent to return this to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of tabling Amendment #4. Representative Keane is the Sponsor, and he has agreed to..."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return House Bill 506 to the Order of Second Reading. Are there any objections? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane, are you objecting?"

Keane: "No, I'm not."

Speaker McPike: "Hearing no objections, Second Reading."

- Priedrich: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I would like leave to table

 Amendment #4. Representative Keane's the Sponsor of the

 Amendment, and he's agreed with that."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the...to table
 Amendment #4. Are there any objections? Hearing no
 objections, leave is granted. Amendment #4 is tabled.
 Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate the...leave to return to the Order of Third Reading Short Debate."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has asked leave to return the Bill to Third Reading Short Debate. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. The Bill the Order of Third Reading Short Debate. House Bill 628, Representative Stuffle. Out of the record. House Bill Out of the record. 669, Representative Stuffle. House Bill 755. Representative Krska. Out of the record. House Bill 776, Representative Kulas. Out of the record. House Bill 787. Representative Braun. Out of the record. 793, Representative Alexander. Do you wish to have your Bill called? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 793, a Bill for an Act to amend

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Sections of the Rape Victims Emergency Treatment Act.

Third Reading of the Bill.

- Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Cook, Bepresentative Alexander."

 Alexander: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this

 Bill is not new to this House. This Bill that we know is

 needed to aid and assist in collection of evidence
 involving rape victims. And I ask your favorable vote with
 regards to it."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House Bill 793. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 793 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. House Bill 793, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Calendar on page nine under House Bills Third Reading...on page 12 of the Calendar under House Bills Third Reading, next Bill, appears House Bill 562. Representative Tate, do you wish to have your Bill heard, Sir? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 562, a Bill for an Act relating to fireworks and amending certain Acts herein named. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

 Tate: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 House Bill 562 just allows or legalizes certain fireworks
 that have been already approved by the National...the
 National Manufacturers' Fireworks Association. Certain
 fireworks like the little pop-pops that you...you handed,
 that's a good illustration of it if anyhody's watching, and
 snakes and other...that don't have...meet the firework or
 the firepowder level which is nondangerous."

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
Bill 562. On that question, the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he'll yield."

Cullerton: "Representative Tate, is the State Fire Marshal opposed to this Bill?"

Tate: "That...that is correct."

Cullerton: "Could you tell us why the State Fire Marshal's opposed to the Bill?"

Tate: "Well, I think the Fire Marshal...they are basically, philosophically opposed to any type of firework period, and however, our legislation — and the Fire Marshal testified to this — that we presently allow this kind of firework in this state in transportation and storage and other capacities, and he wasn't really specific on his explanation of his opposition."

Cullerton: "Well, what we're doing here, apparently, with your Bill is to expand...well, it's to limit the definition of fireworks so that you're allowing certain things to...to now be sold to children. Is that right?"

Tate: "No."

Cullerton: "You're not? Well why are you specifically saying that the term 'fireworks shall not include the following'?"

Tate: "Excuse...I got to get...let me get the Bill."

Cullerton: "Oh, you're going to read the Bill now? I have no objections, Mr. Speaker, if he wants to read the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "You have no objections to the Bill?"

Cullerton: "No, I...it'll be read for a first time by the Sponsor."

Tate: "Representative Cullerton, would you state your objection and your question again, please?"

Cullerton: "Well, I just want to know, isn't it true that what

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

you're doing with this Bill is that you're limiting the definition of fireworks, so you're allowing certain things to be now used by children? Isn't that what the Bill does? Isn't that the purpose of the Bill?

"Well, Representative Cullerton, if you have read the Bill, Tate: you will find that ... that in the definition, we will now include in fireworks, which will include glow worm pellets, smoke devices, sparklers, trick noise makers. party poppers, booby traps, snappers, all different terminology again that all are under a certain level of fire powder that is considered a nondangerous sort of firework. The fact of the matter, Representative Cullerton, I'm you're aware of, throughout the State of Illinois we have thousands and millions of dollars worth of fireworks flooding our borders every year, and these are considered by the industry the least...the most least hazardous or least harmful type of firework available."

Cullerton: "Could you tell me what an auto-burglar alarm is as defined in your Bill?"

Tate: "A noise maker."

Cullerton: "Does this Bill have something to do with automobiles?"

Tate: "A noise maker. A noise maker, you know, like...noise maker."

Cullerton: "That's an auto-burglar alarm? Is that a term of art?

Does everyone know when they read this Bill what that really means?"

Tate: "It's a party joke."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for that clarification by the Sponsor. I think the legislative intent is clear now as to what the Sponsor really wants to do with this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from ... the Gentleman from Hardin,

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support Bill, because what we've been doing in the State of Illinois as Legislators is passing Bills making criminals out of our children, actually encouraging our children to break the law, because in Missouri and Kentucky you can buy sparklers. You can buy glow worms. You can buy these snakes and whatnot. Children really look forward to having these type of items on the Fourth of July. And we're saying, 'No, you can't do it. Go out and break the law. Go over to Missouri and buy them. Take your money out of the state and buy them. Break the law. * I think it's time that we stop encouraging our children to break the law and allow them a few items, at least a few items, that have been determined safe so that they can have something to on the Fourth of July. I would arge a favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I rise as a hyphenated Principal Sponsor of the Bill. Much has been made of this particular Bill, and somehow it's a proposal to make unsafe commodity saleable in Illinois. The fact of it is, in Committee we had testimony from individuals who'd in the business for 30 years and more and indicated clearly that there has never been an injury with regard to their company or their sale of devices of this sort, here or elsewhere, in that period of time. What Representative Winchester said is absolutely true, and especially if vou live on the border of a state like Indiana. All you see now is illegal activity crossing the state line, these things out of the back ends of cars, not paying any sales tax on the commodities that are sold, receiving it in the state. We're putting ourselves in the

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

same posture as these people. We'll making it possible for legitimate businessmen in Illinois to sell a commodity for the Fourth of July that absolutely is not hazardous. Some would lead you to believe that we're sanctioning something here, a kin to large-scale weapons or actual firecracker type devices. And that is clearly not the case. And the Bill deserves, for the reasons stated by Representative Winchester and even Representative Tate, an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative

Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members. Each one of these items listed on page...pages one, and two and three of the are items that are currently being sold in the State of Illinois, apparently legally. They are not black market trade, but they are sold in stores throughout the state. The only purpose for this Bill is to clarify, because there is apparently some discrepancy or at least some vagueness about the applicability of our Fireworks Act, to these ... to these devices. The Members of the Committee had at least practical demonstration of these items in the Committee. They're...all of them are totally safe. They are items you can hold in your hand. And if you look at each one of the items listed on here to see what they are and people in the industry who know, it would clearly indicate that there's absolutely no remotest stretch of the imagination anybody could consider these hazardous. They are not fireworks This just clarifies the law in that regard. As far as the Fire Marshal's opposition's concerned, at best it was a muted opposition in my observation in Committee. I quess my conclusion is that probably if we had a Bill in to make the possession of matches illegal in the State of Illinois, the Fire Marshal would support the Bill. that, this is clearly innocuous material and short of

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

simply a clarification of existing practice."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Representative Tate, does this Bill also include cluster bombs?"

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "No."

Terzich: "Oh, okay."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Tate to close."

Tate: "Well yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill, I think, has been well explained through questioning. What we currently do in this state, we've restricted fireworks in certain areas. Last year, those of you that remember, we legalized sparklers in this This just allows specified...what we call state. items in the industry interpreted by the fireworks industry to be sold in this state. Representative Stuffle stated the case very well, that this is an economic issue. We have millions and millions of dollars every year that flood our borders from Indiana, from Kentucky and from Missouri and some other southern states that allow fireworks. And you're going to be honest to your constituents, and if you're going to allow or legalize or allow your children to be...play legal this year and the Fourth of July, a proper vote would be a 'yes' vote, and I would encourage a 'yes' vote. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 562. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 562 pass?'.

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 73 'ayes', 32 'nos', 5 voting 'present'. House Bill 562, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

declared passed. House Bill 563, Representative Hannig.
Read the Bill, Nr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 563, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Hannig, on the Bill."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill is an honesty in reporting type of Bill, so that school boards will report their expenditures on a basis that is consistent statewide. What we've found presently is that many school districts throughout the state, upward of 80% are actually paying expenditures from the Education Fund, which really are not related to that Fund. range is anywhere from one per cent of the total education expenditures to approximately one-third, and the ... and I might add that this practice is increasing over the last So what this Bill basically says is that the few years. Education Fund should be used solely for purposes of education, and the monies in that Fund should be sent ... spent solely on the education and should not be diverted to other funds that the school board might have. As you try to compare and make analysis financially ... what various financial statements that you might have, I, as legislator, find that just looking at the various school districts in my legislative district that ... that each of them report differently. And consequently, it's very difficult to try to find ... whether they're telling the truth or not when they say that they need more money in this fund or that fund or the like, but I think this Bill would provide some uniformity. It would not cost the state any money. It's supported by the Illinois Education Association and the Illinois Federation of Teachers, and I would ask for your su... favorable vote."

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
Bill 563. On that question, the Lady from DuPage,
Representative Nelson."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentleman of the Nelson: House. I would just like to take a few minutes to point out to you that there is another side to this question. that side is that by passage of this Bill, we will take away from local school boards a certain flexibility that I think they need in these times. It is true that there's no fiscal impact upon state revenue, but it is also true that there are opponents to this Bill. It is opposed by the Illinois Farm Bureau, by the Chicago Board of Education, by Ed and Req, and by the Illinois Association of School And the point of the matter is that since school boards can place state aid money in what ever local f... board fund they wish, whether it's the Educational or the Transportational Fund or what ever, this Bill really restricts only Educational Fund dollars from being used to pay custodial and utility costs, so I would urge a vote. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman, on the Bill."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Iadies and Gentlemen of the House. Here is a... here is a Bill that's an excellent example of an effort to restrict local decision making. The fact of the matter is that each school board, throughout the state, has to make some very difficult decisions about what they do with the finances they have available. The greater degree to which the General Assembly restricts their flexibility, the more difficult we make their job and the more validity our actions place in the charge that we are often... that is often made against us in terms of... of restricting the ability of local

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

government to make their own decisions. I apparently have more confidence in the local school hoards than some other people do. And the fact of the matter is that we may cause those districts, which receive small amounts of state aid, the greatest amount of difficulty, because they may eventually be put into... they may be put in a position where they absolutely do not have the kinds of resources that are necessary in their operation, maintenance, and building fund to pay these kinds of expenses. And so, for those two reasons, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would urge a 'no' vote on this piece of legislation."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative
Hastert."

Hastert: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hannig indicates he will yield."

Hastert: "Representative Hannig, how would this impact on a school district who has seen... that has seen tremendously rising utility cost... that utility cost takes up almost all of their building fund?"

Hannig: "The way the Bill is structured, it's basically said that education fund expenses should be paid from the education budget. It does not prevent the school district, for example, from... from depositing their check... their state aid check in which ever fund they should so desire, but what it does say is that only those funds... those expenses, which are properly education fund expenses, shall be paid from that budget. We're just trying to get the accounting, the reporting to be proper, so that those folks that would like to do some analysis between school districts would have apples and apples to look at and not apples and oranges, but it will not... it will not in any way restrict... restrict these school districts from paying their bills."

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Hastert: "Well... a second question... I would like to speak to that... a second question is, "Do you see this as a... as a mandate? Is this in fact a mandate of this legislature to how local school districts do business?"

Hannig: "Well, I would have to say that this Bill would... would tell the school district that we expect them to use some type of accounting system, which makes sense and is uniform, so that we in the legislature can indeed try to analysis this financial data that they report to their taxpayers on a annual basis."

Haster: "Finally, Representative, if they really wanted to compare budgets, and of course that opens... the budgets are opened to public perusal at any time or during that period of time, they could actually do that with line items. Could they not?"

Hannig: "Could you repeat that question?"

Hastert: "I said if it was done so that they could compare budgets, so you could tell where the apples were and where the oranges were, using your terminology, you could actually do that with line items broken out. Couldn't you not?"

Hannig: "Well, if you wanted to dig through the various bud... or the various funds that a state... that a school district might have, and try to find all the various elements and piece them together, I'm sure that given unlimited amount of time, you could do that."

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hastert on the Bill."

Hastert: "I think the Representative from Macoupin County certainly has a noble view of what should be done, but sometimes between theory and practice there's a rocky road.

And I think we find that as we see our school districts impressed by raising costs, especially raising costs and

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

just keeping that school building viable and workable, that they have to have some options. Now I think, one of the things that we're going to have to address in the fa... this... in the floor of this House in the rest of this Session is are we going to ... to increase mandates for local school districts and local governments, at a time when they are pressed for funds and can't pass referendums, and certainly the state has not really funded up to its ... its commitment. Are we going to tie school boards with decisions that all of a sudden they have to, you know, they have to conform to the mandates of the state, or are we going to take some of those restraints and con... con... confines off local school boards? Are we going to say that to local governments that they have a job to do, and going to give them the flexibility to do that job? That's a question. Not just a question on this issue alone, but a question that we're going to have to face, and we're going to have to address many, many more times this Session. I think that you should consider this Bill, look at it, give it a negative vote."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Marzuki."

Marzuki: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of just like to point out that there's a I would matter of principle involved here. We have established two one educational, one for building and accounts, If we're going to allow the expenditures maintenance. willy-nilly from these funds, we don't need to make this distinction. 1 think that if you collect taxes for one purpose, they ought to be spent for that purpose. probably a very unpopular idea throughout the State of Illinois, but it's probably something all of us ought pursue. If it's necessary to fund for the school districts

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

those kinds of things which come under the offices of building and maintenance, we ought to do that and not keep a school board in the state of dis... dishonest expenditures. I would support this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will yield."

Yourell: "Representative Hannig, perhaps I wasn't listening as I should have when you described the Bill, but in Cook County we do have two audits, as I do understand it. We have one by the Township School Treasurer and then one by... an audit hired by the... by the School District. Would this Bill that... that you have standardize those two reporting concepts between the Township School Treasurer and the... and the School Board?"

Hannig: "Well, first of all, I would have to say that... that the Bill does not apply to school districts over 500,000... the City of Chicago, but for other school districts... what it would simply say is that as a matter of law that custodial employees and utility costs shall be paid from the operations building and maintenance fund, where they really ought to be paid. This would be a matter of law. So to the degree that it would standardize that, yes, that's true."

Yourell: "So what you're suggesting is that no part of the educational levy be used to pay utility cost?"

Hannig: "Mr. Speaker, I believe what... what the Bill would do is that it allows the state aid money to be deposited in whichever fund the school board should so desire, but it maintains that the writing of the check, the actual expenses shall be paid only from the proper fund."

Yourell: "What if that fund is exhausted?"

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Hannig: "Could you repeat the question?"

- Yourell: "Yes, I have a Bill in that allows for a levy for utility cost and the reason for that legislation is because in most instances the utility... the building and maintenance fund has been depleted to the point where they can't make the payments for the utility payments, and so they're using the educational fund to make those payments. Now if this Bill passes, we'll prev... will that prevent them from going into the educational fund and using that money to pay utility costs?"
- Hannig: "They could still use their state aid check when it came in and put it into the utility fund. It just simply says they can't spend that money out of the general... out of the educational fund, so that they're not taking a maintenance expense out of the education fund. So they still would have that as their checks come in each month, the flexibility to do that."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

 The question is, 'Shall the previous question be put?' All

 those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The
 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. The Gentleman from

 Macoupin to close, Representative Hannig."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to address some of the criticisms of this Bill. First of all, I really don't think that it's going to cause a significant decrease in the flexibility of the school board. They still receive their state aid payment. They still have the flexibility to put that money in which ever account they deem necessary. They still have the ability then to use their foresight to make sure that they have money to pay

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

the bills. What this Bill simply says is that those expenses, which are generally operating expenses, utility cost and custodial expenses, could not be paid out of the education fund. The education fund, then, would only reflect those expenditures which had to do directly with the education of the children. Now. in a parallel situation somewhat, here in Illinois, we have many, many funds. We have our general fund. We have our motor fuel fund, and what we're trying to say is that those expenses associated with one fund should be paid for from that fund. Now last year, for a period of time, we gave the Governor the flexibility to change the money back and forth from that fund. And that's no different than what the school what they would be able to do boards really could do... with some foresight. But what we're saying is that when the checks are money. they actually expend the written, that the checks be written from the proper fund. so that those funds' balances and those expenses would actually reflect what indeed the school board had incurred, and not mixing the apples and the oranges and the education fund and the operating fund and all the various funds up to no end, so that the average citizen can't make tails out of the situation. The Bill's basically an effort try to make the reporting system of our school boards clearer and better. I don't think it'll tie the boards up. It won't cost the The flexibility will still be there. state any money. Ιt won't cost the school boards any and better form money, but it will be a more clear af accounting for everyone. The Bill came out of Committee 13 to 2, and I would ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 563. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 563 pass?'

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Lady from Champaign to explain her vote, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think that the discussion on this Bill has really been somewhat out of line. What we're really saying by this Bill is that we want to be able to follow the cash flow of the school districts, and know how much is being expended for If the funds are expended from a particular purposes. different fund, it is not easy, then, to follow how much it actually cost for that particular function. In this case, we're seeking to make the expenditures for operation and maintenance of the buildings all come from a single fund, so that we know exactly how much that portion cost, verses the educational programs that go on in those buildings. don't see that there is any real problem with it. I don't think it does anything to hamper our school boards. As the Sponsor indicates, it's very easy for them to make transfers if they want to, but with this Bill, they have to end up being accountable for spending the money for the purpose that that account is to address, and I hope that you will get enough votes to pass this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there's 70 'ayes', 37 'nos', 2 voting 'present'. House Bill 563, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 564. Representative Hannig.

Do you wish to call your Bill? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 564, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections

of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hannig on the Bill."

Hannig: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Unfortunately we are finding ourself in a situation today

where many of the smaller school districts, particularly

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

downstate, are finding themselves laying off teachers. unfortunate as that may be, in many cases, that is the hard facts of life. Now what this Bill simply says is that for those teachers who are... who for whatever reason leave school district and have accumulated sick days, and then in turn take employment at another school district that those days would eventually be counted in the sick calculation of their pension. Now this can b€ some incentive for a teacher, for example, who has lost his and is ultimately in search of at School District A. it's an incentive for another school district. It's... that individual to stay on the job, and to teach til the end of the term, as opposed to simply exhausting those school days, calling in sick every day for two weeks, and then in turn causing the school district to have to have substitute teacher come to fill out those classes. So the Bill does just that. It have very minimal impact in the It's estimated that on... based on last Pension System. year's figures the state-wide impact of this would be less than ... or right around \$14,000.00, so that's what the Bill would do. Again, it is supported by the Illinois Education Association, the Illinois Federation of Teachers, and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
Bill 564. On that question the Gentleman from DeKalb,
Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Well, yes. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to say that unused sick leave days, you know, they really were originally intended when you're ill... that's what they were for. Now it just happens that this particular retirement system is one of the few systems, maybe the only one, that has such provisions, and all this legislation does is compound bad statutes and bad

32nd Legislative Day

- April 19, 1983
- policy in retirement systems, and I certainly would encourage its defeat. $^{\rm n}$
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van
- Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"
- Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will yield."
- Van Duyne: "I am looking at my synopsis here, and it says from all other employers. Would that... that surely wouldn't apply if a fellow was working on construction or working for Caterpillar or what ever? He's got to still he employed within some school dist... within some school district, right?"
- Hannig: "Yeah, that's correct. It just applies to the school..."

 Van Duyne: "Does this say this... Gary... does this say this in the Bill, or is the synopsis so small that it just inclu... says, 'All other employers', because if it's listed this way, technically in the Bill, I would think that it might have a technical error in the comp... composition of the Bill because it does say, 'All other employers,' and it doesn't speci... specify all other school districts."
- Hannig: "The way... the way the Bill is drafted it... it's in talking about employers as defined under this system of the Act, which is school districts."
- Speaker McPike: "Is there any further discussion? No further discus... I'm sorry the Gentleman from DuPage,

 Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"
- Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will."
- Hoffman: "Am... am I to assume from this Bill that there is some procedure included in the language for keeping a record of unused sick leave from one employer to another?"

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

- Hannig: "Well, it's my understanding that if someone should retire, and have unused sick days, that as a matter of law they can presently bring those sick days within a certain limitation into the retirement system already. So apparently, yes, such a system is already on the books, so to speak, and this would simply extend that benefit, so to speak."
- Hoffman: "Well, if... if someone retires with accumulated sick leave from a particular district, of course, that district has a record. I do not know of any form of procedure for transferring accumulated sick leave from District A to District B, at the present time."
- Hannig: "Well, I would simply say the re... the Teachers'
 Retirement System isn't in support of the Bill, and they
 fell that it is workable, and I would simply have to
 believe them when... when they say that they can do the
 administrative work."
- Hoffman: "Can you explain the reason then for the opposition of the Pension Laws Commission?"
- Hannig: "... suppose that they feel that because there would be a small increase in the amount of... of accrued liability to the system, even though that was determined to be only about \$14,000.00, that they oppose it based on that."
- Roffman: "...Let me just ask you one other question then. Am I to assume that there is something in the legislation which would provide a way to transfer forward from one district to another accumulated sick leave? I ve not looked at the language of the Bill, that's why I ask the question."
- Hannig: "Well, the Bill would not provide you to take sick leave from School District A to School District B, if... if that's the question. It would only allow you to use that within limitations for retirement purposes."
- Hoffman: "The point I'm trying to make is ... I know of no

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

procedure to accumulate... to accumulate that information.

Thank you very such."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will yield."

Satterthwaite: "Representative, isn't it so that if a person has worked for more than one school system now, that the Retirement System would have the information about that employee's record of employment?"

Hannig: "Yes, I believe that's correct."

Satterthwaite: "And would that not also include accumulated sick leave that might be credited to that person, even though they were no longer an employee?"

Hannig: "I would assume that would be correct."

Satterthwaite: "And so, it would seem to me that if an employee has been under several different school districts during the course of their career, that the Retirement System would have that information already in their records, and that could be used as the service experience already is used to give a total for the years that the employee has been covered by the system. I would assume that the system is in place, or if not it would not be very difficult for the Retirement System to include that additional information to calculate the benefits."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Macoupin to close, Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Once again, I think, there's been some confusion by the opponents of this Bill, as to really what it's trying to do. It's simply trying to provide some equity and some fairness and some incentive to the teachers of... of this state, who find themselves in

the unfortunate situation where they're changing jobs. if someone, who teaches for the University of Illinois

in turn takes a job with the University of ... Southern Illinois University, for example, there's no question that

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

sick days would carry forward, and that's because Now , what we're simply saying they work for the state. here is that within the ... the school districts within our state borders, we would like to see those sick days, least for purposes of retirement, carry forward, so that within the limitations as spelled out in the current law. that those individuals could take the sick days for retirement purposes, that the incentive would be there for them to go to school, to teach, that the burden would not be on the school district to find the replacement the substitute teacher to come in and have to teach because individual is finding a new job and decides not to work the last two weeks of the school term, simply because they can't use those sick days in any other way. This Bill would give them another way, and would give them reason to go to work and to teach. The ... the provisions that allow them to take the sick days as pension credits is already a part of this Pension System. It's already part of the law. We're simply making it a little bit different, and I think all things considered this is a good Bill. It should be good for the school districts and actually save some money, and I would ask for your favorable vote." Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House The question is, 'Shall House Bill 564 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 87 'ayes', 18 'nos', 2 voting 'present'. 564 having received a Constitutional Majority is Bill

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you rise."

- Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I was trying to vote *aye* on that last Bill, and for whatever reason my switch didn*t work,

 I'd like leave to be recorded as *aye* on House Bill 564."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Dunn, I'm sorry but our rules will not allow that. Bouse Bill 579. Representative DeJaegher. Wish to call your Bill, Sir? Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "House Bill 579, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative DeJaegher."
- DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentleman, House
 Bill 579 is what you might say a simplified Bill. All it
 does is give an employee direction. At the present time
 school boards are not required to notify a person of a joh
 assignment. What this Bill does is notify or require the
 school boards to notify that person 90 days before job
 liquimentation (sic liquidation)."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Bouse Bill 579. Is there any discussion? The Lady from DuPage, Representative Nelson."
- With the Sponsor that this is probably a merely Bill, and I believe that the Sponsor is... genuinely fulfilling... probably... request from someone who has asked him to sponsor this, but in actuality, I think what we are doing here is absolutely unnecessary. It is ludicrous to assume that the employee of a school district would not have an understanding of what his duties were to be, and the Bill asks that he be notified in writing 90 days ahead of time. But it is also apparent, from testimony in Committee, that if that job assignment for some reason had to be changed

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

another letter would be sent out, within the 90 days, saying that indeed your job assignment has had to be changed. I see no reason for passage of this legislation. It was costly to the state to pass Bills, and I think this is one good example of unnecessary legislation. I'd urge a 'no' vote on House Bill 579."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman for DeWitt, Representative Vinson on the Bill."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I would certainly agree with everything the House. previous Speaker said. More than that, I would call the Assembly's attention to the Bill we passed, two Bills ago, Now the purpose of 563 was to ensure that education money was spent for education. It has the effect. everybody ought to realize this, of making ... think building and operations a much tighter budget for next if you believe in 563, which the Assembly indicated it did, and I certainly do, if you believe that we ought to be spending money for education and less money on building and operations, and that we ought not be raiding the education fund for building and operations, then you probably ought to be against 579. You ought to be against House Bill 579 for sim... very simple reason. It's going to make it more costly, more expensive, cumbersome to deal with buildings and operations, and at the same time, we've already indicated that we don't want to spend more money, more cost, and more administrative effort on buildings and operations. House Bill 579 detracts from the entire purpose of 563. We ought to have been for 5... 563, which we were. We passed it, but we ought not be for this Bill. This Bill makes it harder to makes it harder to spend money on teaching. This Bill spend money on education. What this Bill does is to

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

provide an easy... and easy ride for the janitors and the boiler operators and the people like that, and we ought to be really spending our time trying to improve education, not trying to take care of the... the janitors in the school system. So I would advise everyone to vote against this particular Eill 579."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Coles, Bepresentative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. I missed the logic the part of the Gentleman from those last remarks on Dewitt. In as much as this does not require increased All this says is that if you have a janitor expenditure. in place working this year, you're going to give that janitor and other noncertified personnel some reasonable expectation of what building they're going to be working in next year. We've had specific people... Representative DeJaegher gave examples in Committee... that come to work next year or one year to the next and don't even don't know what building they re in. They show up for work, prepared to work, they're not in the right building because they haven't been assigned to the right building. All this does is indicate a very common procedure, that ought to be there, that says you're going to tell that janitor or someone, 'We expect you to be in ... Building A, instead building B, that we were in last year. Probably already going to work out by then whether or not that person's We're taking about where they're going to be working. We're not talking about spending additional money working. And by the way, that comes from someone, who on that. didn't vote for that last Bill, because I think that Bill did restrict us in our abilities to pay people. people are essential. I don't think anybody is going to suggest you can run a school system without teachers or

32nd Legislative Day

- April 19, 1983
- without janitors, and this is a good Bill. All it does is a simple notification, to tell the person where he's going to be working next year, when they already know he's going to be working and nothing more."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Birkinbine."
- Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will yield."
- Birkinbine: "Do I understand from the comments of the last Speaker that they have to notify sp... the individuals involv... as to specifically what building they will be working in?"
- DeJaegher: "That would be part of his job assignment, yes."
- Birkinbine: "Isn't it true that in school districts all around the state, that they frequently lay off a large number of teachers in the spring, or at least give the notices, and then hire back over the course of the summer those that they realize they're going to indeed need come the fall, when they know specifically how many students they will be having?"
- DeJaegher: "Usually... usually when they give those teachers notification of a pink slip that does happen in early spring. Their budgets are already programmed into... I... I see no reason why it would be that difficult for a school board, after the budgets have been in place... the amount of personnel that they're going to be able to retain, to notify the proper personnel under job assignments, regardless of where they may be... assigned to."
- Birkinbine: "But many times they don't even know until July exactly how many students they're going to have and, therefore, how many individuals they will need."
- DeJaegher: "Well, the budget's already then in place before July,

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

- so 90 days is not that difficult."
- Birkinbine: "Question on a different matter. Why is this the business of state government?"
- DeJaegher: "Why is this the business? Because it's not in the state book requirement at this particular time, and I feel... I feel that it... it creates better harmony for an employee to, basically, know what he's going to do before he has to do that particular job assignment. I think it's... it's... in... all industries a person would like to know what he's going to be doing sometime in advance of what he is going to be doing, so he could address himself accordingly."
- established for certain reasons. We establish local government for certain problems, state government for certain problems, state government for certain problems. What, in heaven's name, does this have to do with state government, and why should we be putting in a law here saying that, 'You local school district... now we want you to do this.'? It seems like the next thing we'd be doing would dictating the color of the paper that's to be used in the assignments... that are to be sent out."
- DeJaegher: "If I didn't bring this legislation before the legislators, where could I get the job done? I want a uniform system. It does not affect the Chicago area, but it does affect... downstate area, and this is what I'm concerned with. I'm concerned about the employee."
- Birkinbine: "Mr. Speaker, if I could address the Bill.

 Certainly..."
- Speaker McPike: "... to the Bill."
- Birkinbine: "Certainly the effort on the part of the Sponsor to bring barmony to all employees in the school system is... is admirable in its thought, but frankly, he's leaving out

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

49 other states. I would suggest that we put this in the form of a Resolution, and ask the federal government to pass such a Bill, and even then we're leaving out, probably, the vast majority of free countries and even those that aren't so free. Perhaps. 44 should then memorialize the United Nations, that they too, should encourage all of their member companies to do this and we will truly have a harmonious world. I would suggest to you all that this is not the role of state government, anymore than it's the role of the United Nations or the federal government, and frankly, we ought to keep our nose out of this business, this along with many others. Thank you very Buch."

- Speaker McPike: "Is there further discussion? There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Rock Island to close, Representative DeJaegher."
- DeJaegher: "Mr. Speaker. The locale is in Silvis, Illinois not Rock Island. It's not my representative district. But I do wish to... I do want to thank Representative Stuffle for outlining that there is no monetary of substance pertaining to this particular Bill, and I just encourage this General Assembly to vote affirmatively. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 579. The question is, "Shall House Bill 579 pass?"

 All those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed vote 'no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 72 'ayes", 36 "nays", none voting 'present". House Bill 579 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 581. Representative Johnson. Do you wish your Bill called? Read the Bill Br. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 581, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedures. Third Reading

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

of the Bill."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Bepresentative Johnson on the Bill."
- Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members, this Bill sponsored by Representative Cullerton and myself, and simply allow the use of a notice to appear, rather than issuing a warrant of arrest for fine-only offenses, where the individual either resides in the county or within 25 miles of where the offense occurs. It also provides immunity for police officers in the event of good faith mistake in terms of the mileage. This Bill was brought to my attention by Sheriff Joe Brown of Champaign County, or the idea by Sheriff Brown of Champaign County, and simply addresses itself to the problem of jail overcrowding for fine-only offenses. It's an innocuous Bill, and I'd ask for a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 581. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich on that question."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think this Bill is as innocuous as the Sponsor has indicated. I attended the Speaker's Law Enforcement Advisory Committee today, and the number the police organizations has said that they signed in testify against this Bill in Committee and weren't given the opportunity to testify, and the State's Attorney from Sangamon County has said that the problem with this law ... let me first tell you that they ve got a problem with the existing law, which is permissive, giving the discretionary authority to issue a notice to appear. And what is happening, in about 50% of the cases, the ... the people are not appearing, and then they are following through with the arrest warrants et cetera, which are costing the taxpayers

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

more monies. What we are doing by this Bill, by the language in Section 24, we are turning it from permissive to mandatory, where if the offense for which an arrest would otherwise be made is a petty offense, a police officer shall issue a notice to appear provided et cetera. So we are making it a more difficult case, where there... there will be more people not appearing in court, so I would urge the members to vote against this measure. I think that if we're going to keep the notice to appear, given that option, let's leave it go with the existing langu... language of the law, and let... not make it more burdensome upon the taxpayers."

Speake McPike: "Is there further discussion? Being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Champaign to close, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I... I appreciate Representative Matijevich's comment, but this Bill passed out of Judiciary 18 to 1. There certainly wasn't any effort to cut anybody off. And just for clarification, what this ... this is not a situation where somebody's arrested for drunk driving, or driving without a license, or anything like that. fine-only offenses, and ... and the law sa... would ... would clearly allow a court to issue a ... an arrest warrant, individual didn't show, pursuant to a speeding ticket. All we're saying is, when 25% of the jails filled up with people, that if they were convicted of the offense that they're there for, couldn't get any jail time anyway, it doesn't make any sense to ... to issue an arrest warrant on them. This is not an attempt to try to thwart good law It's simply an attempt to try to bring some enforcement. common sense to the law. My understanding was... certainly didn't mean to mislead anybody, Representative Matijevich, there wasn't any controversy ... It was 18 to 1 32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

and the only "no" vote is Representative McAuliffe, who indicated later on he... he didn't really understand the thrust of the Bill, and... when it was 19 to nothing before he changed his vote. If you have some real problem with it, you know, I... I'd certainly be glad to talk to you, but I didn't think there's any problem with it. Unless somebody feels strongly about it, I urge a 'yes' vote on this."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 581. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 581 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Lee, to explain his vote, Representative Olson."

Olson: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to ask a guestion. I'll hold it."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 90 'ayes', 19 'nos', 4 voting 'present'. House Bill 581, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page five of the Calendar, under House Bills Second Reading, appears House Bill 17. Mr. Clerk, has a fiscal note on House Bill 17 as amended been filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note as amended is filed."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution #30, Representative Curran

- Oblinger and Madigan, whereas Bishop Joseph McNicholas,
head of the Springfield Roman Catholic Diocese since 1975,
passed away April 17, 1983, in Springfield, Illinois, at
the age of 60, and whereas, Joseph Cardinal Bernardin of
the Archdiocese of Chicago will officiate at services for
Bishop McNicholas April 21, 1983, at the Cathedral of the
Immaculate Conception in Springfield, and whereas, the
spiritual leader of nearly 185,000 Boman Catholics in 28

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

central Illinois counties. Bishop McNicholas was revered as an open, friendly man who made himself available to all and quided his parishioners by the example of his energetic zeal and devout heart, and whereas, a native of St. Louis, Missouri. Bishop McNicholas was educated at the St. Preparatory and Kenrick seminaries, was ordained into the priesthood in 1949 and earned a master's degree in social work from St. Louis University in 1957, and whereas, made a bishop in 1969, Joseph McNicholas became assistant pastor at St. Louis* historic riverfront Old Cathedral and then auxiliary bishop of St. Louis until his assumption of duties as the sixth bishop of the Springfield Diocese the retirement of Bishop William A. O'Connor, and whereas, Bishop McNicholas' enthusiasm and drive were channeled many beneficial directions, for he was a strong voice in the completion of Pro-Life Movement, realized facilities for the elderly and handicapped and had a keen interest in youth and their education, as exemplified by High School Fund Drive. o.f the his his steering secretariatship of the Catholic Charities οf the Archdiocese of St. Louis and his service as chaplain of the St. Louis City Juvenile Court, and whereas, a frequent visitor to these chambers to offer the daily prayer, Bishop McNicholas was an outstanding citizen as well as religious leader whose influential concern and involvement in the issues of our times will be sorely missed by men and women of all spheres of human endeavor, and therefore be it House of Representatives of the 83rd resolved, by the General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that we mark with profound sorrow the death of Bishop Joseph McNicholas of the Springfield Diocese, that we realize his dedication to the of the Roman Catholic faith, his unfaltering devotion to

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

the betterment of his fellow man and his ceaseless effort to ease the burdens of the suffering and downtrodden, and that we express our sincere sympathy to his grieving family, friends, colleagues and many worshippers whose lives he so richly touched, and he it re... further resolved, that suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to Bishop McNicholas sister and aunt and to the Chancery Office of the Springfield Diocese."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Curran."
- Curran: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to add all the Members of the House to this Resolution."
- Speaker McPike: "Let... the Gentleman asks leave to add all Members of the House as Cosponsors. Is leave granted?

 Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Curran."
- Curran: "Bishop McNicholas came here about nine years ago. He was very well loved in this community. He was the leader of this... Catholics in this Diocese. He was a good friend of mine, and a good friend of many of the people in this room, and we have lost a great man. On Thursday morning at 11:00, there's going to be a Mass at which we will bury him. I'd like as many of the Members, as possible, to attend that Mass at Cathedral. It's not far from here, because we'll be burying a leader of the Catholic faith, and a... a wonderful human being, and a great leader. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Sangamon, Representative Oblinger."
- Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm not a Catholic, but he was one of my dearest friends. He was a loving gentleman, as evidenced by the fact that when he didn't think we had enough room for poor senior citizens,

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

he saw to it that we had the John... the Pope John Paul Apartments. He also was caring about his parishioners, caring about their children, and instituted the program, 'Come Home for Christmas', which was a very deeply religious ceremony for him. In ad... addition, no matter who you were, when you were in the hospital, Bishop McNicholas visited you, counseled with you, and gave you his loving care. And at this time, I want to say, I feel like I've lost one of my dearest friends."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn." Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to add my comments to the list of those who mourn the lose of Bishop McNicholas. He was a great spiritual leader, to the Diocese of Springfield, which includes the area, which I serve. Some of you may or may not know that on the day of his death, he had been Moweaqua and Stonington, Illinois for Confirmation at the churches there, and he was following the practice that throughout his term as Bishop his custom been Springfield, Illinois, and that is to religiously and diligently seek out and attend functions in each and every church in his Diocese. He was the most visable Bishop the memory of anyone in our area. He served with dignity, and yet he brought a touch to... to the communities which he served, that I don't think, we may ever see again. don't know how many of you have ever been with him, but in Decatur, Illinois for example, Bishop McNicholas was not occasionally, but often, seen in the bleachers, at football games for St. Teresa High School, and in the bleachers during basketball games for St. Teresa High School. as many of you know, was an unabashed baseball fan, a Cardinal fan, but he translated his enthusiasm for sports into attendance at sporting events in the high schools in

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

his Diocese, and by doing so he brought a human element of the Catholic Church to those he served, and those of us who are the beneficiaries of his work in our Diocese are now and will forever be grateful."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane."

 Keane: "I would just like to mention the Bishop's participation in the Illinois Catholic Conference of Bishops, throughout the State of Illinois, the fact that... Bishop was recog... recognized and respected throughout Illinois and throughout the Midwest. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Curran has moved for the adoption of the Death Resolution. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'may'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution's adopted. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 180, Deuchler Hastert and Cowlishaw; 181 by Didrickson. House Joint Resolution 31 by DiPrima et al; 32, Hastert and Ever... Ebbesen. Senate Joint Resolution 27 by Giglio and Steczo."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Giorgi: "How about 179, Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Right. House Resolution 179 by DiPrima et al."

 Giorgi: "Okay. 179 by DiPrima asks the Governor to proclaim Army

 Reserve Day; 180 by Deuchler notes a distinguished career;

 181 by Dirkson (sic Didrickson) tells of a retirement.

 House Joint 31 honors the National Volunteer of the Year;

 32 notes a Farm Women of the Year, and the Senate Joint

 Resolution 27 congratulates Thornton High School. I move

 for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker McPike: "Repre... Representative Giorgi has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed 'no". The 'ayes' have it. The Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolution."

32nd Legislative Day

- April 19, 1983
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 182 by Representative Daniels, with respect the memory of Walter William Wilson."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Resolution's adopted. Are there any announcements? Representative Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I ask to waive the appropriate rule to hear House Bill 749, tomorrow in Higher Education. The Bill was heard two weeks ago. We intended to repost it for today, for pur... for tomorrow's hearing for purposes of Amendments and by a clerical error, it was omitted."
- Speaker McPike: "Has this been cleared with the Minority Spokesman?"
- Satterthwaite: "I believe it has."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call, to wave the appropriate rule, so that House Bill 179 (sic 749) can be heard tomorrow. Are there any objections? Was that #749?"
- Satterthwaite: "... Yes, House Bill 749."
- Speaker McPike: "I'm sorry, 749. Are there any objections?

 Hearing no objections, the... the Attendance Roll Call will be used on the Motion."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I would also like to announce for the benefit of both the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee and for the Higher Education Committee that we're having a joint hearing tonight at 7:00 in Room 114. It's the final of the three hearings we had scheduled, and I hope that the Members will be in attendance."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bullock."
- Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've consulted with the Chairman of the Revenue

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Committee, Minority Spokesman of the Revenue Committee, relative to the Motion I'm about to make. I am requesting leave of the Body to use Attendance Boll Call to suspend a six day posting rule, in order that House Bill 1583 can be posted for hearing in the Revenue Committee. I know of no objections to the Motion and would request leave to use Attendance Roll Call."

- Speaker McPike: "Is that House Bill 1583? The Gentleman asks
 leave to use the Attendance Boll Call to waive the posting
 requirements, so that House Bill 1583 can be heard in
 Committee. Are there any objections? Hearing no
 objections, the Attendance Boll Call will be used on the
 Motion. Motion carried. Representative Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "Mr. Sp... Mr. Speaker, I would leave... ask leave of the House to waive the proper... appropriate posting rule to he... so we could hear 932... to House Bill 932 tomorrow in the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to waive the posting requirements on House Bill 932. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Has that request been cleared with the... the Bepublican Spokesman?"
- Speaker McPike: "Bepresentative Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "I just talked with Representative Nelson, Mr. Speaker.

 I don't know what... I... I just talked with Representative

 Nelson."

Vinson: "That wasn't my question."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Nelson."

Nelson: "Mr... Mr. Speaker, I would object to that request."

Speaker McPike: "There is an objection. Do you wish to make a Motion? Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, is it within the rules to get a Roll Call

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

- on that?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yeah, we have a Motion in writing from you, if
 you wish to put... put that Motion, you may. It requires
 71 votes."
- Mulcahey: "It does have to be in writing?"
- Speaker McPike: "No... no. I have it here in writing. Now, do you wish to make the Motion?"
- Mulcahey: "I ... so ... so moved. I so move Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend the posting requirements in Bule 20, so that House Bill 932 can be heard in Committee. On that Motion, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, does not that Motion have to be carried on the Calendar for a day? I wou... would call the Chair's attention to Rule 20(k)."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Vinson, your point is well taken. The Motion is out of order. Representative Nelson."
- Nelson: "Mr. Speaker, I have been advised by Members on my side

 of the aisle that there is support for this, and I would

 like to withdrawn my objection."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady withdraws her objections. Are there any other objections? Do you object?"
- Mulcahey: "No, Mr. Speaker. I just want to announce that I have no objections."
- Speaker McPike: "Fine. Hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call will be used on Representative Mulcahey's Motion that Rule 20(k) be suspended, so that House Bill 932 can be heard in Committee tomorrow. Further announcements? Representative Eunn."
- Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, the Transportation Committee, immediately after adjournment, will meet in C-1. That's a little different location. We're having hearings later on this afternoon, so to fit that time slot, we had to move the

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

Committee meeting to C-1. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Capparelli. Any announcements?

Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Human Services Committee will meet at 4:00. We have a large agenda... in Room 114, here in the Capitol Building, 4:00. Flease be on time. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman. As the chief Sponsor of House Bill 1172, I would appreciate having it tabled."

Speaker McPike: "That's il ... it's ... "

DiPrima: "Or I ... "

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to table House Bill 1172.

Are there any objections? Are you the Chief Sponsor of the Bill?"

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker McPike: "Hear... hearing... hearing no objections, the Bill is tabled. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the House Revenue Committee has well over 100 Bills assigned to it. Any Sponsors that want their Bill heard next week or the following week, which is the last week, should notify my secretary at 2-8111, when they want their Bill posted, because we can't ask each person. There's too many Bills, over 100. They're going to have to come to us. That's the House Revenue Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, that also goes for the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee will only hear those Bills that the Sponsors request in a hearing, so if you want your Bill heard in Executive Committee, please notify my secretary that's 782-8197 for posting. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson."

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker. When last we were in Session, I addressed an inquiry to Speaker Madigan in the Chair, in regard to scheduling of Judiciary Committee. The schedule that has created a number of conflicts with other Committees, and he indicated that he would review that matter and get back to us, and we're getting very close to that Committee hearing, and I wonder if we might get a final resolution of that matter?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yes, that question is still rending, and we will get back to you, Mr. Vinson. Representative Mulcahey."
- Mulcahey: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The Elementary and Secondary
 Education Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 8:00. I
 might point out to the Members of that Committee that there
 hav... are 109 Bills that have been assigned to that
 Committee, and we've got twelve hours to take care of that
 matter."
- Speaker McPike: "For those legislators who are interested in attending the Bishop's service on Thursday, the House will not come in Session Thursday until 1:00 p.m. That is on Thursday. Representative Greiman on the Adjournment Resolution."
- Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stan... adjour... stand adjourned until the hour of 12 tomorrow on... on the... what is it, 19th... 18th... what's the... 20th of April 1983."
- Speaker McPike: "Allowing two minutes for a perfunctory, the Gentleman moves the House stands adjourned until Wednesday at the hour of 12 noon. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and we stand adjourned."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Corrected Committee report. Representative

 Domico, Chairman of the Committee on Registration and

 Regulation, to which the following Bill is referred, action

32nd Legislative Day

April 19, 1983

taken April 6, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendation: "do pass as amended" House Bill 552. No further business, the House now stands adjourned."

07/26/83 09:14

STATE OF ILLINOIS 83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

APRIL 19, 1983

	•		
HB-0017	SECOND BEADING	PAGE	23
	SECOND READING	PAGE	64
	HELD ON SECOND	PAGE	24
	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
HB-0024	SECOND READING	PAGE	11
HB-0054	SECOND BEADING	PAGE	7
HB-0087	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
HB-0120	THIRD READING	PAGE	29
	THIRD READING	PAGE	30
	THIRD READING	PAGE	30
	THIRD READING	PAGE	31
	THIRD READING	PAGE	31
	THIRD READING	PAGE	32
	THIRD BEADING	PAGE	32
	THIRD READING	PAGE PAGE	33 24
	SECOND READING SECOND BEADING	PAGE	12
	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
	THIRD READING	PAGE	33
	SECOND READING	PAGE	7
	RECALLED	PAGE	34
	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
	SECOND READING	PAGE	14
	SECOND READING	PAGE	15
HB-0558	SECOND READING	PAGE	15
HB-0562	THIRD READING	PAGE	36
HB-0563	THIRD READING	PAGE	42
	THIRD READING	PAGE	50
	THIRD READING	PAGE	56
	THIRD READING	PAGE	61
	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
	SECOND READING	PAGE PAGE	17 18
	SECOND READING SECOND READING	PAGE	8
	SECOND READING	PAGE	10
	SECOND READING	PAGE	18
	SECOND READING	PAGE	19
	SECOND READING	PAGE	19
	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-0740	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-0743	SECOND READING	PAGE	20
HB-0764	SECOND READING	PAGE	21
HB-0769	SECOND READING	PAGE	21
HB-0793	THIRD READING	PAGE	35
	SECOND READING	PAGE	22
	SECOND READING	PAGE	22
	SECOND READING	PAGE	10
	SECOND READING	PAGE	22
	SECOND BEADING	PAGE PAGE	23 72
HB-1172		PAGE	5
	FIRST READING	PAGE	5
	FIRST READING ADOPTED	PAGE	2
HJB-0030		PAGE	64
10 B - 00 30	RUUE 4 NU		

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MADIGAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND WILLIAM T. RUCKER	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2

07/26/83 09:14

STATE OF ILLINOIS 83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

APRIL 19, 1983

SUBJECT MATTER

REPRESENTATIVE NCPIKE IN CHAIR PAGE	13
AGREED RESOLUTIONS PAGE	68
ADJOURNMENT PAGE	73
PERFUNCTORY SESSION PAGE	73
CONNITTEE REPORT PAGE	73
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT PAGE	74